

Homework Assignment # 1.

Plan for next week: Tensor products of modules and algebras. Reading: 10.4 in DF and Lectures 3, 4, 5 from the webpage.

Problems, to be submitted by Fri, January 25th.

Convention: All rings below are assumed to have 1, and all modules are left modules.

Problem 1: Let M be an R -module for some ring R (not necessarily commutative).

- (a) For a subset N of M the *annihilator of N in R* is defined to be the set $Ann_R(N) := \{r \in R : rn = 0 \text{ for any } n \in N\}$. Prove that $Ann_R(N)$ is a left ideal of R .
- (b) Prove that if N is a submodule of M , then $Ann_R(N)$ is an ideal of R (that is, a two-sided ideal).
- (c) For a subset I of R the *annihilator of I in M* is defined to be the set $Ann_M(I) := \{m \in M : xm = 0 \text{ for any } x \in I\}$. Find a natural condition on I which guarantees that $Ann_M(I)$ is a submodule of M .

Problem 2: Let R be a ring and let M be an R -module.

- (a) Prove that for any $m \in M$, the map $x \mapsto xm$ from R to M is a homomorphism of R -modules (recall that R is an R -module with the left multiplication action).
- (b) Assume that R is commutative, and let M be an R -module. Prove that $Hom_R(R, M) \cong M$ as R -modules. **Note:** For the definition and justification of the R -module structure on the set $Hom_R(M, N)$ (where R is commutative and M and N are R -modules) see Proposition 2 on page 346 in DF. **Hint:** An element of $Hom_R(R, M)$ is uniquely determined by where it maps 1.

Problem 3: In Lecture 1 (see also online Lecture 1) we obtained a simple characterization of R -modules for $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $R = F[x]$, with F a field.

- (a) Find a similar characterization of R -modules for $R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$;

- (b) Let $R = S[x]$ for some commutative ring S with 1. Prove that there is a natural correspondence between R -modules and pairs (M, T) where M is an S -module and $T : M \rightarrow M$ is an S -linear map.
- (c) Let $R = F[x, y]$, with F a field. Use (b) to construct a natural correspondence between R -modules and triples (V, A, B) where V is an F -vector space and $A, B : V \rightarrow V$ are commuting linear transformations. **Note:** This can be done without (b), but (b) yields a complete proof which does not involve long and tedious verifications.

Problem 4 (practice): Let G be a group and $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ its integral group ring (see online Lecture 1 or DF, § 7.2 for definition). Let M be an abelian group. Show that there is a natural bijection between $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module structures on M and actions of G on M by group automorphisms (that is, actions of G on M such that for any $g \in G$ the map $m \mapsto gm$ is an automorphism of the abelian group M).

Problem 5: An R -module M is called *simple (or irreducible)* if M has no submodules besides $\{0\}$ and M . An R -module M is called *indecomposable* if M is not isomorphic to $N \oplus P$ for nonzero R -modules N and P .

- (a) Prove that every simple module is indecomposable
- (b) Describe all simple \mathbb{Z} -modules and all finitely generated indecomposable \mathbb{Z} -modules. Deduce that an indecomposable module need not be simple.

Problem 6: An R -module M is called *cyclic* if M is generated (as an R -module) by one element.

- (a) Prove that cyclic R -modules are precisely the ones which are isomorphic to R/I for some left ideal I of R .
- (b) Prove that every simple module is cyclic. Then show that simple R -modules are precisely the ones which are isomorphic to R/I for some maximal left ideal I of R .

Problem 7. Let R be a commutative domain, and let I be a non-principal ideal of R . Prove that I , considered as an R -module (with left-multiplication action) is indecomposable but not cyclic. **Hint:** One way to prove that I is indecomposable is to show that any two elements of I are linearly dependent over R . **Note:** As we will prove in a couple of weeks, if R is a principal ideal domain, every finitely generated indecomposable module is cyclic.

Problem 8. Prove Schur's lemma [DF, problem 11, p.356].