## 8. Modules over PID, part II. Smith Normal Form.

## 8.1. Proof of the Smith Normal Form theorem.

**Theorem** (Smith Normal Form (SNF)). Let R be a PID,  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $A \in Mat_{k\times n}(R)$ . Then A can be written as  $A = CDB$  where  $B \in GL_n(R)$ ,

$$
C \in GL_k(R) \text{ and } D \in Mat_{k\times n}(R) \text{ is equal to } \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a_m & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for}
$$

some  $m \leq \min\{n,k\}$  and nonzero  $a_1, \ldots, a_m$  with  $a_1 \mid a_2 \mid \ldots \mid a_m$ . The matrix D is called the Smith Normal Form of A. Its entries  $a_1, \ldots, a_m$  are uniquely determined up to multiplication by units.

Today we will prove the existence part of this theorem. For simplcity, we will present the proof under the extra assumption that  $R$  is a Euclidean domain (the argument is the general case is similar).

Let us introduce the following operations on the set  $Mat_{k\times n}(R)$ :

- (1)  $\mathcal{E}_{ij}(r), i \neq j$ : add j<sup>th</sup> row multiplied by r to i<sup>th</sup> row
- (2)  $\mathcal{F}_{ij}(r), i \neq j$ : flip i<sup>th</sup> and j<sup>th</sup> rows
- (3)  $\mathcal{E}'_{ij}(r)$ ,  $i \neq j$ : add i<sup>th</sup> column multiplied by r to j<sup>th</sup> column
- (4)  $\mathcal{F}'_{ij}(r)$ ,  $i \neq j$ : flip i<sup>th</sup> and j<sup>th</sup> columns

Operations (1) and (2) will be called row reductions and operations (3) and (4) column reductions.

It is easy to see that

- $\mathcal{E}_{ij}(r)$  = multiplication on the left by  $E_{ij}(r)$  = the matrix with 1's on the diagonal, r at the  $(i, j)$ -entry and 0's everywhere else
- $\mathcal{F}_{ij}$  = multiplication on the left by  $F_{ij}$  = the matrix obtained by flipping  $i^{\text{th}}$  and  $j^{\text{th}}$  rows of the identity matrix
- $\mathcal{E}'_{ij}(r) =$  multiplication on the right by  $E_{ij}(r)$
- $\mathcal{F}_{ij}$  = multiplication on the right by  $F_{ij}$

**Claim.** Using operations (1)-(4) one can reduce any 
$$
k \times n
$$
 matrix A to the form diag<sub>k,n</sub>(a<sub>1</sub>,..., a<sub>m</sub>) = 
$$
\begin{pmatrix}\na_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & a_2 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & a_m & 0\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
 with  $a_1 | a_2 | \cdots | a_m$ .

Suppose we proved the claim and A is reduced to  $D = diag_{k,n}(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ using p row reductions and q column reductions for some p and q. Then there exist matrices  $C_1, \ldots, C_p, B_1, \ldots, B_q$  each of which is equal to  $E_{ij}(r)$ or  $F_{ij}$  for some i, j & r s.t.

$$
C_p \dots C_1 AB_1 \dots B_q = D.
$$

All  $B_k$ 's and  $C_k$ 's are clearly invertible, so  $A = CDB$  where  $C = (C_p \dots C_1)^{-1}$ and  $B = (B_1 \dots B_q)^{-1}$ , as desired in the SNF Theorem.

*Proof of the Claim.* Recall that we consider the case  $R=\text{Euclidean domain}$ , and let N be a Euclidean norm on R.

Initial step: Find nonzero entry of A with smallest possible norm and move it to position  $(1,1)$  using flips, call it  $a_1$ .

<u>Case 1:</u> All entries of A are divisible by  $a_1$ .

Then using operations  $\mathcal{E}_{1j}(r)$  and  $\mathcal{E}'_{j1}(r)$ , that is, subtracting suitable multiples of the first row (resp. column) from other rows (resp. columns), we can put zeroes everywhere in the first row and first columnn except for  $(1, 1)$ -entry, so our matrix is of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  $0 \tilde{A}$  $\setminus$ . By induction the matrix  $A$  can be put into SNF using reductions, so  $A$  can be reduced to the form  $\sqrt{2}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\begin{matrix} a_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & \dots & 0 \end{matrix}$ . . . . . . . . . 0  $0 \quad 0 \quad \ldots \quad a_m$  $\overline{0}$  $0 \qquad 0$ V. with  $a_2 | a_3 | \ldots | a_m$ . It remains to show

that  $a_1 \mid a_2$ .

By assumption  $a_1$  divides all entries of A. When we apply a row or column reduction, the entries of the new matrix are  $R$ -linear combinations of the entries of the old matrix. Thus  $a_1$  divides all entries of the matrix  $diag_{k\times n}(a_1,\ldots,a_m)$ , and in particular  $a_1 | a_2$ .

Case 2: One of the entries of A is not divisible by  $a_1$ , call it bad entry.

Subcase 1: Bad entry exists in  $row_1$ :  $a_1 \nmid a_{1j}$  for some j. Then write  $a_{1j} = qa_1 + r$  with  $0 < N(r) < N(a_1)$ . After subtracting the first column multiplied by q from the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column, we get r in the position  $(1, j)$ . Then we go back to the initial step. The process cannot go forever since  $N(r) < N(a_1)$ and N has values in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

Subcase 2: Bad entry exists in  $column_1$ . This is analogous to Subcase 1. Subcase 3: All entries in  $row_1$  and  $column_1$  are divisible by  $a_1$ . Then as in Case 1 we reduce A to the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  $\begin{matrix} 0 & \widetilde{A} \\ 0 & \widetilde{A} \end{matrix}$  $\setminus$ . If A has bad entry  $a_{ij}$ , add  $i^{\text{th}}$  row to the first row, which puts us back in Subcase 1.

8.2. Using SNF Theorem for finding compatible bases in the submodule theorem.

**Problem.** Let  $R$  be a Euclidean domain,  $F$  a f.g. free  $R$ -module and  $N$ a submodule of F. Want: find (algorithmically) a basis  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$  of F and elements  $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in R$  with  $a_1 \mid a_2 \mid \ldots \mid a_m$  and  $m \leq n$  s.t.  ${a_1y_1, \ldots, a_my_m}$  is a basis for N. The bases of F and N with this property will be called compatible.

Of course, the existence of such bases is guaranteed by Theorem 7.1

Example 8.1: Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}, F = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_2$  (the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with basis  ${e_1, e_2}$ ) and N the submodule of F generated by  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  where  $z_1$  =  $7e_1 + 3e_2$ ,  $z_2 = 3e_1 + 7e_2$  and  $z_3 = 4e_1 + 4e_2$ .

Let us find compatible bases for  $F$  and  $N$ . The initial basis for  $F$  is  ${e_1, e_2}$ , and the initial generating set for N is  ${z_1, z_2, z_3}$ , and we can write

$$
\begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } A = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 3 \\ 3 & 7 \\ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}
$$

Now let us put A into SNF using row and column reductions. As can be seen from the proof of Theorem 7.1, each row reduction represents a change of a generating set of  $N$ , and each column reduction represents a change of basis of  $F$ , and at each stage of our process we have equality

$$
\begin{pmatrix} z'_1 \\ z'_2 \\ z'_3 \end{pmatrix} = A' \begin{pmatrix} e'_1 \\ e'_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{***}
$$

where  $\{e'_1, e'_2\}$  is the current basis of F,  $\{z'_1, z'_2, z'_3\}$  is the current generating set of  $N$  and  $A'$  is the current matrix.

Note that we only need to keep track of how the basis of  $F$  changes since the current generating set of  $N$  is determined by the current basis of  $F$  and the current matrix via  $(***)$ . Because of this, we shall try to use as few column reductions as possible (since row reductions do not change the basis of  $F$ ).

Let us now implement this algorithm in our example:

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 3 \ 3 & 7 \ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{1,2}(-2)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -11 \ 3 & 7 \ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{2,1}(-3)} \xrightarrow{\& \mathcal{E}_{3,1}(-4) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -11 \ 0 & 40 \ 0 & 48 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}'_{1,2}(11)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 40 \ 0 & 48 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{3,2}(-1)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 40 \ 0 & 8 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{2,3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 8 \ 0 & 40 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{3,2}(-5)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 8 \ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

So, we found that  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_2 = 8$ .

Our reduction of A to SNF involved only one column reduction (third transition), so we only need to see how the basis changed at that step. The new basis  $\{e_1', e_2'\}$  satisifes the matrix equation:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & -11 \\ 0 & 40 \\ 0 & 48 \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 40 \\ 0 & 48 \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} e'_1 \\ e'_2 \end{array}\right),
$$

and so  $e'_1 = e_1 - 11e_2$  and  $e'_2 = e_2$ .

Thus, if we let  $y_1 = e_1 - 11e_2$  and  $y_2 = e_2$ , then  $\{y_1, y_2\} = \{e_1 - 11e_2, e_2\}$ is a basis of F and  $\{y_1, 8y_2\} = \{e_1 - 11e_2, 8e_2\}$  is a basis of N.

Verification: Let us check the asnwer (in case we made a computational mistake). It is clear that  $\{e_1 - 11e_2, e_2\}$  is a basis of F, so we only need to check that  ${e_1 - 11e_2, 8e_2}$  is a basis of N. We need to check that

(i)  $e_1 - 11e_2$  and  $8e_2$  lie in N

(ii) Initial generators of N are linear combinations of 
$$
e_1 - 11e_2
$$
 and  $8e_2$ 

(iii)  $e_1 - 11e_2$  and  $8e_2$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ 

We have

(i)  $e_1 - 11e_2 = z_1 - 2z_2 \in N$  and  $8e_2 = z_2 + z_3 - z_1 \in N$ 

(ii)  $z_1 = 7(e_1 - 11e_2) + 10 \cdot 8y_2$ ,  $z_2 = 3(e_1 - 11e_2) + 5 \cdot 8y_2$ ,  $z_3 =$  $4(e_1 - 11e_2) + 6 \cdot 8y_2$ 

(iii) is clear