

2. BASIC PROPERTIES OF MODULES

2.1. Isomorphism theorems for modules. All four isomorphism theorems for groups have direct counterparts for modules. In fact, their statements are even easier since normality assumption is already “included” in the definition of a submodule.

One can obtain the statements of the isomorphism theorems for modules as follows – take the statements of the isomorphism theorems for groups, specialize to the case of *abelian* groups, using additive notation and removing vacuous assumptions and replace every occurrence of group (resp. subgroup) by R -module (resp. R -submodule).

For instance, here are the first and fourth isomorphism theorems for modules.

Theorem (First isomorphism theorem for modules). *If $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ is a homomorphism of R -modules, then*

- $\text{Ker } \varphi$ is a submodule of M ,
- $\text{Im } \varphi = \varphi(M)$ is a submodule of N ,
- $M/\text{Ker } \varphi \cong \varphi(M)$.

Theorem (Fourth isomorphism theorem for modules). *Let M be a R -module and N a submodule of M . Then there is an inclusion preserving bijection*

$$\text{submodules of } M \text{ containing } N \longleftrightarrow \text{submodules of } M/N.$$

2.2. Generating and linearly independent subsets of modules.

Definition. Let M be an R -module and X a subset of M . The the smallest submodule of R containing X will be called the submodule of M generated by X and denoted by RX . Clearly,

$$RX = \{r_1x_1 + \dots r_kx_k : r_i \in R, x_i \in X\}.$$

Definition. Let M be an R -module and X a subset of M . We will say that

- X generates M (as an R -module) if $RX = M$
- X is linearly independent (over R) if equality $r_1x_1 + \dots r_kx_k = 0$ where $r_i \in R$ and x_1, \dots, x_k are *distinct* elements of X implies that $r_i = 0$ for all i
- X is a basis of M if X is linearly independent and generates M .

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that R is a field and M an R -module (= R -vector space). Then M has a basis. Furthermore,*

- (a) *Any generating subset of M contains a basis of M*
- (b) *Any linearly independent subset of M can be extended to a basis of M .*

Proposition 2.1 in the case of finite dimensional vector spaces is a standard fact from undergraduate linear algebra. For general vector spaces it is a consequence of the following result.

Claim. *Let R be a field, M and R -vector space, X a generating set of M , and Y a linearly independent subset of X . Then M has a basis B with $Y \subseteq B \subseteq X$.*

Proof. Apply Zorn's lemma to the set of linearly independent sets Z , with $Y \subseteq Z \subseteq X$, ordered by inclusion. \square

If R is not a field, Proposition 2.1 becomes completely false. Here are some examples.

1. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 2$ an integer. Then $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -module with no non-empty linearly independent subsets.
2. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $M = R$. Then M has a basis X , in fact there are just two possibilities: $X = \{1\}$ or $X = \{-1\}$. However,
 - $\{2, 3\}$ is a generating set which does not contain a basis.
 - $\{2\}$ is a linearly independent subset which does not extend to a basis

2.3. Free modules.

Definition. An R -module is called free if it has a basis.

By Proposition 2.1 if R is a field, then every R -module is free. We shall now describe the structure of free R -modules over arbitrary rings.

Definition (Direct sum of modules).

1. Finite case: If M_1, \dots, M_k are R -modules, their (external) direct sum

$$M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_k = \{(m_1, \dots, m_k) : m_i \in M_i\}$$

is an R -module with componentwise addition and R -action given by

$$r(m_1, \dots, m_k) = (rm_1, \dots, rm_k).$$

2. General case: if X is any set and $\{M_x\}_{x \in X}$ is a collection of R -modules, define $\bigoplus_{x \in X} M_x$ to be the set of functions

$\{f : X \rightarrow \cup M_x : f(x) \in M_x \text{ for each } x \text{ and the set } \{x : f(x) \neq 0\} \text{ is finite}\}.$

This is an R -module with pointwise addition and R -action given by

$$(rf)(x) = rf(x)$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let M be an R -module and ω a cardinal number. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) M has a basis of cardinality ω
- (ii) M is isomorphic to the direct sum of ω copies of R .

Proof. “(i) \Rightarrow (ii)” Suppose M has a basis X with $|X| = \omega$. Let $M' = \bigoplus_{x \in X} R_x$ where each R_x is a copy of R .

Take $m \in M$. Since X is a basis, we can uniquely write $m = \sum_{x \in X} r_x x$ where each $r_x \in R$ and only finitely many r_x are 0. Let $\varphi_m \in M'$ be the function defined by $\varphi_m(x) = r_x$ for each x .

We get a map $\varphi : M \rightarrow M'$ given by $m \mapsto \varphi_m$. It is routine to check that φ is an isomorphism of R -modules.

“(ii) \Rightarrow (i)” Let X be any set with $|X| = \omega$, and let $M' = \bigoplus_{x \in X} R_x$ where each R_x is a copy of R . Thus by assumption $M \cong M'$.

For each $x \in X$ let $e_x \in M'$ be the function defined by

$$e_x(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = x \\ 0 & \text{if } y \neq x \end{cases}$$

It is then easy to check that $\{e_x\}_{x \in X}$ is a basis of M' . Thus M' has a basis of cardinality ω , and the same is true for any module isomorphic to M . \square

2.4. Categorical characterization of free modules.

Definition. Let M be an R -module and X a subset of M . We will say that M is categorically free on X if for any R -module N , any map $f : X \rightarrow N$ uniquely extends to an R -module homomorphism $f_* : M \rightarrow N$.

Lemma 2.3 (Uniqueness of categorically free modules). *Suppose that M is categorically free on X and M' is categorically free on X' . Assume that $|X| = |X'|$. Then $M \cong M'$; moreover, there is an R -module isomorphism from $\varphi : M \rightarrow M'$ such that $\varphi(X) = X'$.*

Proof. This is a standard diagram argument (similar to what we did for free groups). \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let M be an R -module and X a subset of M . The following are equivalent:*

- (i) X is a basis of M .
- (ii) Any element $m \in M$ can be uniquely written as $m = \sum_{x \in X} r_x x$ where $r_x \in R$ and only finitely many r_x are nonzero.

(iii) M is categorically free on X .

Proof. “(i) \Rightarrow (ii)” is easy (in fact, we already used it).

“(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)” is also easy – just set $f_*(\sum_{x \in X} r_x x) = \sum_{x \in X} r_x f(x)$.

“(iii) \Rightarrow (i)” Let M' be the direct sum of $|X|$ copies of R . By Theorem 2.2 M' has a basis X' with $|X'| = |X|$. Hence by implication “(i) \Rightarrow (iii)” (which we already proved) M' is categorically free on X' .

By Lemma 2.3 there is an isomorphism $\varphi : M' \rightarrow M$ such that $\varphi(X') = X$. Clearly, an R -module isomorphism sends a basis to a basis, and thus X must be a basis of M . \square

Combining Theorems 2.2 and 2.4, we obtain three equivalent characterizations of free modules

Corollary 2.5. *Let M be an R -module. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) M is free, that is, M has a basis
- (ii) M is categorically free (on some X)
- (iii) M is isomorphic to the direct sum of several copies of R .