## Math 5651. Fall 2011. Solutions to the in-class part of the second midterm.

**1.** Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space and  $n = \dim(V)$ . Let  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  be s.t.

ST = 0

Prove that

$$\operatorname{rk}(S) + \operatorname{rk}(T) \le n$$

referring only to results proved in class.

**Solution:** Since ST = 0, we have S(T(v)) = 0 for all  $v \in V$ , and thus, Im  $(T) = \{T(v) : v \in V\} \subseteq \text{Ker}(S)$ . Therefore,  $\text{rk}(T) = \dim(\text{Im}(T)) \leq \dim(\text{Ker}(S)) = \text{null}(S)$ , and using the rank-nullity theorem we get

$$\operatorname{rk}(S) + \operatorname{rk}(T) \le \operatorname{rk}(S) + \operatorname{null}(S) = \dim(V) = n.$$

**2.** Let A be a  $3 \times 3$  matrix over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Eight of the nine entries of A are given below:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 6 & ? \\ 3 & 9 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let  $\alpha \neq 0$  be a fixed real number, and suppose that one of the eigenvalues of A is equal to  $\alpha$ .

- (a) Find all the other eigenvalues of A. **Hint:** This can be done almost without computations.
- (b) List all values of  $\alpha$  for which A is not diagonalizable. Make sure to prove that for each  $\alpha$  you listed A is not diagonalizable and for each  $\alpha$  you did not list A is diagonalizable.

**Solution:** (a) First note that the first two columns of A are proportional, so det(A) = 0. Therefore, 0 is an eigenvalue of A (e.g. since det $(A) = det(A - 0 \cdot I) = \chi_A(0)$ ). We also know that the sum of all eigenvalues of A is equal to tr(A) = 1 + 6 - 3 = 4. Thus, the eigenvalues of A are  $\alpha, 0$  and  $4 - \alpha - 0 = 4 - \alpha$ .

(b) If A has 3 distinct eigenvalues, it is diagonalizable by Corollary 16.5. Therefore, A may fail to be diagonalizable only if the numbers  $0, \alpha$  and  $4 - \alpha$ 

are not distinct, which happens if  $0 = 4 - \alpha$  (in which case  $\alpha = 4$ ) or if  $\alpha = 4 - \alpha$  (in which case  $\alpha = 2$ ).

If  $\alpha = 4$ , we have  $m_4(A) = 1$  and  $m_0(A) = 2$ , so A is diagonalizable  $\iff \dim E_0(A) = \operatorname{null}(A) = 2$  which is equivalent to saying that  $\operatorname{rk}(A) = 3 - \operatorname{null}(A) = 1$ . But clearly  $\operatorname{rk}(A) \ge 2$  since the first and third rows of Aare not proportional. Therefore, A is not diagonalizable if  $\alpha = 0$ .

Similarly, if  $\alpha = 2$ , we have  $m_2(A) = 2$  but  $\operatorname{rk}(A - 2I) \ge 2$  (for the same reason), so A is not diagonalizable if  $\alpha = 2$  as well. **Final answer for (b):**  $\alpha = 2, 4$ .

**3.** Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix over a field F. For each  $1 \le k, l \le n$  by a  $k \times l$  submatrix of A we mean the object obtained from A by removing n - k rows and n - l columns (there are no restrictions on which rows and columns are being removed).

- (a) Suppose that A has a  $k \times k$  submatrix B with  $det(B) \neq 0$ . Prove that  $rk(A) \geq k$ .
- (b) Conversely, suppose that  $rk(A) \ge k$ . Prove that there exists a  $k \times k$  submatrix B with  $det(B) \ne 0$ . **Hint:** What can you say about possible ranks of  $n \times k$  submatrices of A?

**Solution:** (a) WOLOG, the matrix B lies at the intersection of the first k rows and the first k columns of A. Since  $det(B) \neq 0$ , the columns of B are linearly independent. This implies that the first k columns of A are linearly independent. Indeed, suppose that there exist  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k \in F$ , not all 0 s.t.  $\lambda_1 col_1(A) + \ldots + \lambda_k col_k(A) = 0$ . This means that  $\lambda_1 a_{i1} + \ldots + \lambda_k a_{ik} = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Forgetting about  $i \geq k + 1$  and using these equalities just for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , we conclude that  $\lambda_1 col_1(B) + \ldots + \lambda_k col_k(B) = 0$ , contrary to our assumption.

Thus, A has k linearly independent columns, so  $rk(A) \ge k$ .

(b) Since  $\operatorname{rk}(A) \geq k$ , A has k linearly independent columns. Consider the  $m \times k$  matrix C composed of those k columns. Then C also has k linearly independent columns, so  $\operatorname{rk}(C) = k$  (it cannot be larger than k since C has the total of k columns). Since the rank of a matrix is also equal to the largest number of linearly independent rows, we conclude that C has k linearly independent rows. Let B be the matrix composed of those k rows

of C. By construction, B is a  $k \times k$  submatrix of A and B has k linearly independent rows, so  $\operatorname{rk}(B) = k$  and hence  $\det(B) \neq 0$ .

**4.** Let V be a six-dimensional vector space over a field F. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and assume that  $\chi_T(x) = (x - \lambda)^4 (x - \mu)^2$  for some  $\lambda \neq \mu$ .

- (a) List all possibilities for JCF(T) (up to permutation of blocks). An answer is sufficient. To save time instead of writing down matrices just write which Jordan blocks they are composed of, denoting by  $J(\alpha, k)$  the Jordan block of size k corresponding to  $\alpha$ .
- (b) Now assume in addition that dim  $E_{\lambda}(T) = 2$  and dim  $E_{\mu}(T) = 1$ . List all possibilities for JCF(T). For each JCF that you listed in (a) but not in (b) explain why it cannot occur in (b).
- (c) Keeping all the previous assumptions, suppose in addition that V CAN-NOT be written as  $V = U \oplus W$  where U and W are both T-invariant and dim $(U) = \dim(W) = 3$ . Find JCF(T) (it is uniquely determined by the given information).

**Solution:** (a) There are 2 ways to write 2 as sum of positive integers (where the order does not matter): 2 = 2 and 2 = 1 + 1 and 5 ways to write 4 in such a way: 4 = 4, 4 = 3 + 1, 4 = 2 + 2, 4 = 2 + 1 + 1, 4 = 1 + 1 + 1 + 1. Therefore, there are  $10 = 5 \cdot 2$  possibilities for JCF(T);

- (i)  $JCF(T) = J(\lambda, 4) \oplus J(\mu, 2)$
- (ii)  $JCF(T) = J(\lambda, 3) \oplus J(\lambda, 1) \oplus J(\mu, 2)$
- (iii)  $JCF(T) = 2J(\lambda, 2) \oplus J(\mu, 2)$
- (iv)  $JCF(T) = J(\lambda, 2) \oplus 2J(\lambda, 1) \oplus J(\mu, 2)$
- (v)  $JCF(T) = 4J(\lambda, 1) \oplus J(\mu, 2)$
- (vi)  $JCF(T) = J(\lambda, 4) \oplus 2J(\mu, 1)$
- (vii)  $JCF(T) = J(\lambda, 3) \oplus J(\lambda, 1) \oplus 2J(\mu, 1)$
- (viii)  $JCF(T) = 2J(\lambda, 2) \oplus 2J(\mu, 1)$
- (ix)  $JCF(T) = J(\lambda, 2) \oplus 2J(\lambda, 1) \oplus 2J(\mu, 1)$

(x)  $JCF(T) = 4J(\lambda, 4) \oplus 2J(\mu, 1)$ 

(b) We start with a general claim:

**Claim:** For each  $\alpha \in Spec(T)$ , the dimension of the eigenspace  $E_{\alpha}(T)$  is equal to the number of Jordan blocks corresponding to  $\alpha$ .

Proof: This claim follows from our proof of existence of JCF, but can be also seen directly as follows. We have dim  $E_{\alpha}(T) = \operatorname{null}(T - \alpha I) = 6 - \operatorname{rk}(T - \alpha I) = 6 - \operatorname{rk}(A - \alpha I_6)$  where A = JCF(T). If  $c_{\alpha}$  is the number of blocks in A corresponding to  $\alpha$ , then the matrix  $A - \alpha I_6$  has  $c_{\alpha}$  columns which are identically zero (the first column of each Jordan block). The remaining columns are nonzero and moreover have different degrees, if we define the degree of a column to be the largest i s.t. the column has nonzero entry in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row. The latter property easily implies that the  $6 - c_{\alpha}$  nonzero columns of  $A - \alpha I_6$  are linearly independent. So,  $\operatorname{rk}(A - \alpha I_6) = 6 - c_{\alpha}$ , whence dim  $E_{\alpha}(T) = 6 - (6 - c_{\alpha}) = c_{\alpha}$ , as claimed above.  $\Box$ 

According to the claim, conditions dim  $E_{\lambda}(T) = 2$  and dim  $E_{\mu}(T) = 1$  hold  $\iff JCF(T)$  has two blocks corresponding to  $\lambda$  and one block corresponding to  $\mu$ . Therefore, of the 10 possibilities from (a) precisely two, namely (ii) and (iii), may occur in (b).

(c) If  $JCF(T) = J(\lambda, 3) \oplus J(\lambda, 1) \oplus J(\mu, 2)$  (option (ii)) and  $\beta$  is a corresponding Jordan basis, we can write  $V = U \oplus W$  where U is the span of the first three vectors of  $\beta$  (corresponding to the block  $J(\lambda, 3)$ ) and W is the span of the last three vectors of  $\beta$  (corresponding to the other two blocks). Then  $\dim(U) = \dim(W) = 3$  and both U and W are T-invariant. Thus, option (ii) cannot occur, and the only possibility is (iii):  $JCF(T) = 2J(\lambda, 2) \oplus J(\mu, 2)$ .

**Note:** It takes a little work to show that option (iii) does satisfy the requirement in (c), but this was not a part of the problem.