## Solutions to Homework #9

**1.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with a < b, and let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of differentiable functions from [a, b] to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that both the sequences  $\{f_n\}$  and  $\{f'_n\}$  are uniformly bounded. Prove that the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  is equicontinuous (and hence has a uniformly convergent subsequence).

**Solution:** We are given that there exists  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|f'_n(x)| \leq M$ for all  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence by the Mean Value Theorem, we have  $|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| \leq M|x-y|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x, y \in [a, b]$ . From this inequality it is clear that  $\{f_n\}$  is equicontinuous (the definition of equicontinuity holds with  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{M}$ ). Since [a, b] is compact and  $\{f_n\}$  is also uniformly bounded, Arzela-Ascoli Theorem implies that  $\{f_n\}$  has a uniformly convergent subsequence.

2. The goal of this problem is to show that the statement of the Arzela-Ascoli theorem may be false if the domain is not totally bounded.

- (a) Consider functions  $f_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{|x|}{n} & \text{if } |x| \le n \\ 1 & \text{if } |x| > n \end{cases}$ Prove that the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous, but does not have a uniformly convergent subsequence. Deduce that Arzela-Ascoli Theorem does not hold for  $X = \mathbb{R}$ .
- (b)\* (bonus) Now let (X, d) be any unbounded metric space. Show that there exists a sequence of continuous functions  $f_n : X \to \mathbb{R}$  which is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous, but does not have a uniformly convergent subsequence.

**Solution:** (a) Clearly,  $|f_n(x)| \leq 1$  for all n and x, so  $\{f_n\}$  is uniformly bounded. Also, a straightforward case-by-case analysis shows that  $|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| \leq \frac{|x-y|}{n} \leq |x-y|$  for all n (alternatively see the proof of (b) below), so  $\{f_n\}$  is equicontinuous (with  $\delta = \varepsilon$ ). It is also clear that  $f_n \to 0$  pointwise.

Suppose now that  $f_n$  has a uniformly convergent subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$ . Then  $f_{n_k} \rightrightarrows 0$ , so there exists  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|f_{n_k}(x)| = |f_{n_k}(x) - 0| < \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $k \ge K$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . This clearly cannot happen since  $f_{n_k}(n_k) = 1$  for all k.

(b) Fix  $a \in X$ . Since X is unbounded, we can find a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that  $d(x_n, a) \ge n$  for all n.

Define the functions  $f_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{d(x,a)}{n} & \text{if } d(x,a) \le n \\ 1 & \text{if } d(x,a) > n \end{cases}$ Note that the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  from part (a) is a special case of this construction where  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and a = 0. We claim that  $\{f_n\}$  has required properties. The proof is very similar to part (a); the only thing we will check explicitly is why  $\{f_n\}$  is equicontinuous. We will show that  $|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| \leq \frac{d(x,y)}{n} \leq d(x,y)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x, y \in X$  (hence definition of equicontinuity holds with  $\delta = \varepsilon$ ).

So take any  $x, y \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $f_n(x) = f_n(y)$ , there is nothing to prove, so assume that  $f_n(x) \neq f_n(y)$ . WOLOG  $f_n(x) < f_n(y)$ . Since the values of  $f_n$  are bounded above by 1, we have  $f_n(x) < 1$ , whence (based on the formula for  $f_n$ ),  $f_n(x) = \frac{d(x,a)}{n}$ . It is also clear that  $f_n(y) \leq \frac{d(y,a)}{n}$  (this is true for any y and any n). Therefore,

$$|f_n(y) - f_n(x)| = f_n(y) - f_n(x) \le \frac{d(y, a) - d(x, a)}{n} \le \frac{d(x, y)}{n} \le d(x, y),$$

where the next-to-last step holds by triangle inequality.

**3.** Pugh, problem 9 on p. 264.

**Solution:** We claim that the family  $\{f_n\}$  is equicontinuous  $\iff f$  is constant. The backwards direction is clear. We prove the forward direction by contrapositive. Suppose that  $\{f_n\}$  is equicontinuous but f is not constant and choose  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $f(y) \neq f(x)$ . Let  $\varepsilon = |f(y) - f(x)|$ . By equicontinuity there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|u - v| < \delta$  implies  $|f_n(u) - f_n(v)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ . Choose n such that  $\frac{|y-x|}{n} < \delta$  and let  $u = \frac{y}{n}$  and  $v = \frac{x}{n}$ . Then  $|u - v| < \delta$  but  $|f_n(u) - f_n(v)| = |f(nu) - f(nv)| = |f(y) - f(x)| = \varepsilon$ , a contradiction.

**4.** Pugh, problem 14 on p. 264

**Solution:** We will use the following terminology: Given points  $x, y \in M$ and  $\delta > 0$ , a  $\delta$ -chain from x to y is a finite sequence  $x = x_0, \ldots, x_n = y$  such that  $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) < \delta$  for all i. Thus M is chain-connected if and only if for any  $x, y \in M$  and any  $\delta > 0$  there exists a  $\delta$ -chain from x to y in M.

"⇒" Suppose that M is chain-connected and  $\mathcal{F}$  is an equicontinuous family of functions from M to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d(x,y) < \delta$  implies that |f(x) - f(y)| < 1 for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Suppose now that  $\mathcal{F}$  is bounded at some (fixed) point  $p \in M$ , so there exists  $C \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|f(p)| \leq C$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $q \in M$  is such that  $d(q,p) < \varepsilon$ , then for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  we have  $|f(q)| = |f(q) - f(p) + f(p)| \leq |f(q) - f(p)| + |f(p)| \leq C + 1$ , so  $\mathcal{F}$  is bounded at q as well. Applying this argument several times, we see that  $\mathcal{F}$  is bounded at any point  $x \in M$  such that there exists a  $\delta$ -chain from p to x. But M is chain-connected, so such a chain exists for any  $x \in M$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{F}$  is bounded at every  $x \in M$ , that is,  $\mathcal{F}$  is pointwise bounded on M.

" $\Rightarrow$ " We argue by contrapositive. Suppose M is not chain connected, so there exists  $\delta > 0$  and  $a, b \in M$  such that there is no  $\delta$ -chain from a to b.

Define a relation  $\sim$  on M by  $x \sim y \iff$  there is a  $\delta$ -chain from x to y. We claim this is an equivalence relation. Indeed,  $x \sim x$  since x, x is a  $\delta$ -chain from x to itself. If  $x \sim y$  and  $x = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n = y$  is a  $\delta$ -chain from x to y, then  $x_n, x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_0$  is a  $\delta$ -chain from y to x. Finally if  $x \sim y$  and  $y \sim z$ , there exist  $\delta$ -chains  $x = x_0, \ldots, x_n = y$  and  $y = y_0, \ldots, y_m = z$ ; then  $x_0, \ldots, x_n = y_0, \ldots, y_m$  is a  $\delta$ -chain from x to z, so  $x \sim z$ .

By assumption, there exist non-equivalent elements  $a, b \in M$ , so there is more than one equivalence class with respect to  $\sim$ . Let A be one of the equivalence classes and let  $B = M \setminus A$ , so that  $M = A \sqcup B$ . Note that by construction both A and B are non-empty.

If  $a \in A$  and  $x \in M$  are such that  $d(a, x) < \delta$ , then a, x is a  $\delta$ -chain from a to x, so  $x \sim a$  and hence  $x \in A$  (since A is the equivalence class of any of its elements), so  $x \notin B$ . By contrapositive,

for any 
$$a \in A, b \in B$$
 we must have  $d(a, b) \ge \delta$  (\*\*\*)

Now define a sequence of functions  $\{f_n : X \to \mathbb{R}\}$  by setting

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in B \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $\{f_n\}$  is bounded at any  $x \in B$  and not bounded at any  $x \in A$  (recall that we made sure that A and B are non-empty). It remains to show that  $\{f_n\}$  is equicontinuous. Given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let us use  $\delta$  introduced above. If  $d(x, y) < \delta$ , then by (\*\*\*) we must have  $x, y \in A$  or  $x, y \in B$ . But each  $f_n$  is constant on both A and B, so  $|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| = 0 < \delta$ .

**5.** Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let  $(B(X), d_{unif})$  be the metric space of all bounded functions  $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$  with uniform metric:

$$d_{unif}(f,g) = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x) - g(x)|.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq B(X)$ . Let (P) be one of the three properties: pointwise bounded, uniformly bounded and equicontinuous. Prove that if  $\mathcal{F}$  has (P), then its closure  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  also has (P). (You need to give three different proofs, one for each property).

**Solution:** (1) Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is pointwise bounded. Thus for any  $x \in X$  there exists  $C_x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq C_x$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Now take any  $h \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ . There exists  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $d_{unif}(h, f) < 1$ , so in particular |h(x) - f(x)| < 1. Then  $|h(x)| < |f(x)| + |h(x) - f(x)| \le C_x + 1$ . Since the obtained bound does not depend on h and since  $x \in X$  was arbitrary, we deduce that  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  is pointwise bounded on X.

(2) Preservation of uniform boundedness is very similar to (1).

4

(3) Suppose now that  $\mathcal{F}$  is equicontinuous. Take any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and let  $\delta > 0$  be such that  $d(x, y) < \delta$  implies  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . We claim that  $d(x, y) < \delta$  implies that  $|h(x) - h(y)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $h \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ .

Indeed, given  $h \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ , we can find  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $d_{unif}(h, f) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ . Then  $|h(t) - f(t)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  for all  $t \in X$ , so if  $d(x, y) < \delta$ , we have

$$|h(x) - h(y)| \le |h(x) - f(x)| + |f(x) - f(y)| + |f(y) - h(y)| < 3 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon.$$