## Solutions to Homework #4

**1.** Let  $f : A \to B$  be a function. Give a detailed proof of the following properties:

- (a)  $f^{-1}(U \cap V) = f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V)$  for all  $U, V \subseteq B$
- (b)  $f(f^{-1}(D)) \subseteq D$  for all  $D \subseteq B$ . Give an example showing that the inclusion may be strict.
- (c)  $f^{-1}(f(C)) \supseteq C$  for all  $C \subseteq A$ . Give an example showing that the inclusion may be strict.

**Solution:** (a) Since both sides of the equality are subsets of B, we need to show that given any  $x \in B$ , we have

$$x \in f^{-1}(U \cap V) \iff x \in f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V).$$

So let  $x \in B$ . Then  $x \in f^{-1}(U \cap V) \iff f(x) \in U \cap V \iff f(x) \in U$  and  $f(x) \in V \iff x \in f^{-1}(U)$  and  $x \in f^{-1}(V) \iff x \in f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V)$ .

(b) If K and L are sets, to prove  $f(K) \subseteq L$  we need to show that  $f(x) \in L$ for all  $x \in K$ . We need to check the latter condition in the case L = D and  $K = f^{-1}(D)$ . But the assumption  $x \in K = f^{-1}(D)$  means that  $f(x) \in D = L$  just by definition of preimage, so we are done.

If  $f : A \to B$  is any non-surjective function and D = B, then  $f(f^{-1})(D) \subseteq f(A) \neq B = D$  (since f is not surjective).

(c) Let  $c \in C$ . Then  $f(c) \in f(C)$ , so  $c \in \{x \in A : f(x) \in f(C)\}$ , and the latter set is equal to  $f^{-1}(f(C))$  (by definition of preimage).

Let  $f : A \to B$  be any non-injective function, so there exist  $a_1 \neq a_2$ in A with  $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ . If we take  $C = \{a_1\}$ , then  $f(C) = \{f(a_1)\}$ and  $f^{-1}(f(C))$  contains at least two elements,  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ , so in particular,  $f^{-1}(f(C)) \neq C$ .

**2.** Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in a metric space (X, d), and let x be some element of X. Prove that the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) some subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  converges to x

(ii) for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are infinitely many *n* for which  $x_n \in N_{\varepsilon}(x)$ .

When proving the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) make it clear how you use that  $x_n \in N_{\varepsilon}(x)$  for infinitely many n (and not just for some n).

**Solution:** "(i) $\Rightarrow$  (ii)" Suppose that some subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  converges to x. Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_{n_k} \in N_{\varepsilon}(x)$  for all  $k \geq M$ . In particular,  $x_n \in N_{\varepsilon}(x)$  for infinitely many n (namely  $n = n_M, n_{M+1}, \ldots$ )

"(ii) $\Rightarrow$  (i)" Now assume that (ii) holds. Then we can construct a sequence of integers  $n_1 < n_2 < \ldots$  s.t.  $x_{n_k} \in N_{1/k}(x)$  for each k. The sequence is constructed inductively as follows – once  $n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1}$  are chosen, using the fact that  $x_n \in N_{1/k}(x)$  for infinitely many n, we can always choose one of those n's s.t.  $n > n_{k-1}$ ; this n will be our  $n_k$ ).

Since  $x_{n_k} \in N_{1/k}(x)$ , we have  $d(x_{n_k}, x) < \frac{1}{k}$ . Hence  $d(x_{n_k}, x) \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ , and therefore  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  converges to x.

**3.** Let  $K = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$ . Prove that K is covering compact in two different ways:

- (i) by showing that K is closed and bounded as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  (We will prove in class next week that a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is covering compact if and only if it is closed and bounded).
- (ii) directly from definition of covering compactness.

**Solution:** (i) Clearly K is bounded since  $K \subseteq [0,1] \subset (-1,1) = N_1(0)$ . Also note that

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus K = (-\infty, 0) \cup (1, +\infty) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}).$$

Thus,  $\mathbb{R} \setminus K$  is a union of open intervals, hence  $\mathbb{R} \setminus K$  is open and therefore K is closed.

(ii) Let  $\{U_{\alpha}\}$  be an open cover of K (where we consider K as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Since  $0 \in K$ , one of these sets, call it  $U_{\gamma}$ , must contain 0. Since  $U_{\gamma}$ is open, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \subseteq U_{\gamma}$ . Now choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$  (so that  $\frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon$ ). Then  $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  contains 0 and  $\frac{1}{n}$  for all  $n \ge N$ , so  $K \subseteq U_{\gamma} \cup S$  where  $S = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{N}\}$  (the important thing is that S is finite).

Now for each integer  $1 \le n \le N$  we can find  $\alpha_n$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} \in U_{\alpha_n}$ . Then  $K \subseteq U_{\gamma} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{N} U_{\alpha_n}$ , so we found a finite subcover of our original cover.

**4.** Let X be a metric space. Prove that X is covering compact  $\iff X$  satisfies the following property:

(\*) Let  $\{K_{\alpha}\}$  be any collection of closed subsets of X such that for any finite subcollection  $K_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, K_{\alpha_n}$ , the intersection  $K_{\alpha_1} \cap \ldots \cap K_{\alpha_n}$  is non-empty. Then the intersection of all sets in  $\{K_{\alpha}\}$  is non-empty.

**Solution:** The above property (\*) can be reformulated as follows (via contrapositive):

(\*\*) Let  $\{K_{\alpha}\}$  be any collection of closed subsets of X such that the intersection of all sets in  $\{K_{\alpha}\}$  is empty. Then there is a finite subcollection  $K_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, K_{\alpha_n}$  such that the intersection  $K_{\alpha_1} \cap \ldots \cap K_{\alpha_n}$  is empty.

We will show that X is compact  $\iff X$  satisfies (\*\*).

"⇒" Suppose that X is compact, and let  $\{K_{\alpha}\}$  be any collection of closed subsets of X such that the intersection of all sets in  $\{K_{\alpha}\}$  is empty. Define  $U_{\alpha} = X \setminus K_{\alpha}$ . Then each  $U_{\alpha}$  is open, and the fact that  $\cap K_{\alpha} = \emptyset$  implies that  $\cup U_{\alpha} = X$ , so  $\{U_{\alpha}\}$  is an open cover of X. Since X is compact, there exist  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$ . Then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n K_{\alpha_i} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (X \setminus U_{\alpha_i}) = \emptyset$ , as desired.

" $\Leftarrow$ " Now assume that (\*\*) holds. Take any open cover  $\{U_{\alpha}\}$  of X, and define  $K_{\alpha} = X \setminus U_{\alpha}$ . Then each  $K_{\alpha}$  is closed in X, and since  $\cup U_{\alpha} = X$ , we have  $\cap K_{\alpha} = \emptyset$ . By (\*\*), we deduce that there exist  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n K_{\alpha_i} = \emptyset$ . Then  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$ , so  $\{U_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^n$  is a finite subcover of  $\{U_{\alpha}\}$ . Thus we proved that X is compact.

5. Let X = C[a, b], considered as a metric space with uniform metric  $d_{unif}$  (as defined in Problem 4 in HW#2). Prove that the set  $B_1(\mathbf{0})$ , the closed ball of radius 1 centered at  $\mathbf{0}$  in X, is not sequentially compact. Here  $\mathbf{0}$  is the function which is identically 0.

**Solution:** By Problem 7 in HW#2 there exists a sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}$  in X such that  $d_{unif}(f_n, f_m) = 1$  for all  $n \neq m$ . Moreover, an explicit construction of such sequence given in online solutions shows that one can choose  $f_n \in B_1(\mathbf{0})$ . We claim that the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  has no convergent subsequences.

Indeed, suppose that  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  is a convergent subsequence. Then  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  is also Cauchy, so (by the definition of Cauchy with  $\varepsilon = 1$ ), there exists  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that  $d_{unif}(f_{n_k}, f_{n_l}) < 1$  for all  $k, l \ge M$ . This is clearly a contradiction since  $d_{unif}(f_{n_k}, f_{n_l}) = 1$  whenever  $k \ne l$ . Recall that the notion of an *ultrametric* metric space was introduced in HW#3.7. The following problem gives an interesting example of an ultrametric metric space.

**6.** Let p be a fixed prime number. Define the function  $|\cdot|_p : \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  as follows: given a nonzero  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we can write  $x = p^a \frac{c}{d}$  for some  $a, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  where c and d are not divisible by p. Define  $|x|_p = p^{-a}$  (note that the above representation is not unique, but it is easy to see that a is uniquely determined by x). For instance,

$$\left|\frac{9}{20}\right|_{p} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{9} & \text{if } p = 3\\ 4 & \text{if } p = 2\\ 5 & \text{if } p = 5\\ 1 & \text{for any other } p. \end{cases}$$

Also define  $|0|_p = 0$ . Now define the function  $d_p : \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  by  $d_p(x, y) = |y - x|_p$ .

- (a) Prove that  $(\mathbb{Q}, d_p)$  is an ultrametric space. (Note: the completion of this metric space is usually denoted by  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is called *p*-adic numbers).
- (b) Describe explicitly the set  $N_1(0)$  (the open ball of radius 1 centered at 0) in  $(\mathbb{Q}, d_p)$ .
- (c) Let d be the standard metric on  $\mathbb{Q}$  (that is, d(x, y) = |y x| where  $|\cdot|$  is the usual absolute value). Give examples of sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that
  - (i)  $x_n \to 0$  in  $(\mathbb{Q}, d_p)$  but  $\{x_n\}$  is unbounded as a sequence in  $(\mathbb{Q}, d)$
  - (ii)  $y_n \to 0$  in  $(\mathbb{Q}, d)$  but  $\{y_n\}$  is unbounded as a sequence in  $(\mathbb{Q}, d_p)$

**Solution:** (a) Take any  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ , and let u = y - x, v = z - y, so that u + v = z - x. Thus, we need to prove that

$$|u+v|_p \le \max\{|u|_p, |v|_p\}$$
 for all  $u, v \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ .

The inequality is clear if u = 0, v = 0 or u + v = 0, so from now on we will assume that  $u, v, u + v \neq 0$ .

Write  $u = p^a \cdot \frac{c}{d}$  and  $v = p^b \cdot \frac{e}{f}$  where  $p \nmid c, d, e, f$ . By symmetry, without loss of generality we can assume that  $a \geq b$ . Then

$$u + v = p^b \cdot \left(p^{a-b}\frac{c}{d} + \frac{e}{f}\right) = p^b \cdot \frac{p^{a-b}cf + de}{df}.$$

Since  $p \nmid d$  and  $p \nmid f$ , we have  $p \nmid df$ . We do not know whether  $p^{a-b}cf + de$ is divisible by p, but in any case we can write  $p^{a-b}cf + de = p^g \cdot h$  for some  $g, h \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $g \geq 0$  and  $p \nmid h$ . Then  $u + v = p^{b+g} \frac{h}{df}$ , so

$$|u+v|_p = \frac{1}{p^{b+g}} \le \frac{1}{p^b} = |v|_p \le \max\{|u|_p, |v|_p\}.$$

(b)  $N_1(0)$  consists of 0 and all rational numbers  $\frac{m}{n}$  such that gcd(m, n) = 1 and  $p \mid m$ .

(c) The sequences  $x_n = p^n$  and  $y_n = \frac{1}{p^n}$  have the required properties.

7. Let X be an arbitrary metric space and  $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$  a continuous function (where  $\mathbb{R}$  is equipped with standard metric).

- (i) Prove that the sets  $\{x \in X : f(x) > 0\}$  and  $\{x \in X : f(x) < 0\}$  are open and the set  $\{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$  is closed
- (ii) Prove that if  $g: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is another continuous function, then the set  $\{x \in X : f(x) = g(x)\}$  is closed

**Solution:** (i) By definition  $\{x \in X : f(x) > 0\} = f^{-1}((0, +\infty))$  (the preimage of  $(0, +\infty)$  under f),  $\{x \in X : f(x) < 0\} = f^{-1}((-\infty, 0))$  and  $\{x \in X : f(x) = 0\} = f^{-1}(\{0\})$ . Since  $(0, +\infty)$  and  $(-\infty, 0)$  are open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\{0\}$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , the result follows from the fact that preimages of open (resp. closed) sets under continuous functions are open (resp. closed).

(ii) Note that  $\{x \in X : f(x) = g(x)\} = \{x \in X : f(x) - g(x) = 0\}$ . Since the function f - g is continuous by Theorem 4.9 in Rudin, the result follows from (i).

**Remark:** The result of part (ii) remains true if g is a continuous function from X to Y where Y is an arbitrary metric space. However, the above argument does not work in this generality since addition or subtraction may not be defined in Y (and even if they are defined in some way, Theorem 4.9 from Rudin is not applicable).