

## Solutions to Homework #11

**Notation:** Throughout the entire solutions the Lebesgue measure will be denoted by  $\mu$ . This is done to avoid confusion with the standard notations  $m_k$  and  $M_k$  that naturally arise in Problems 2 and 4. In Problem 4 where we have to simultaneously work with the Lebesgue measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^2$  we denote by  $\mu_1$  the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  and by  $\mu_2$  the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

1. Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then

- $A$  is called an  $F_\sigma$ -set if  $A$  is countable union of closed sets.
- $A$  is called a  $G_\delta$ -set if  $A$  is a countable intersection of open sets.

(i) Prove that  $A$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set  $\iff X \setminus A$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set.

(ii) Prove that the collection of all  $F_\sigma$ -sets in  $X$  is closed under countable unions and the collection of all  $G_\delta$ -sets in  $X$  is closed under countable intersections.

(iii)\* Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  (with standard metric). Prove that every open subset of  $X$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set and every closed subset of  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set.

**Solution:** (i) This follows directly from the de Morgan laws  $(\cup_{n=1}^\infty A_n)^c = \cap_{n=1}^\infty A_n^c$  and  $(\cap_{n=1}^\infty A_n)^c = \cup_{n=1}^\infty A_n^c$  and the fact that complements of open sets are closed (and vice versa). Here  $Y^c = X \setminus Y$ , the complement of  $Y$  in  $X$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $B$  is a countable union of  $F_\sigma$ -sets, that is,  $B = \cup_{n=1}^\infty B_n$  where each  $B_n$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set, so  $B_n = \cup_{m=1}^\infty B_{n,m}$  where each  $B_{n,m}$  is closed. Note that we can write  $B = \bigcup_{(n,m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} B_{n,m}$ . Since  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is countable, it follows that  $B$  is a countable union of closed sets, so by definition  $B$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set. Thus, the collection of all  $F_\sigma$ -sets in  $X$  is closed under countable unions. Similarly, the collection of all  $G_\delta$ -sets in  $X$  is closed under countable intersections.

(iii) First we show that every open interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set. Indeed, if  $I = (a, b)$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , we can write  $I = \cup_{n=1}^\infty [a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n}]$  (where we adopt the convention that  $[c, d] = \emptyset$  if  $c > d$ ). If  $I = (a, +\infty)$ , we write  $I = \cup_{n=1}^\infty [a + \frac{1}{n}, +\infty)$  (all the intervals on the right-hand side are closed); for  $I = (-\infty, b)$  we write  $I = \cup_{n=1}^\infty (-\infty, b - \frac{1}{n}]$ , and finally  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  is already closed.

By Problem 7 in HW#6, every open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is at most countable union of open intervals. Since we already proved that open intervals are

$F_\sigma$ -sets, the result follows from (ii) (here we use the fact that a finite union of  $F_\sigma$ -sets can also be written as a countable union of  $F_\sigma$ -sets since we can write  $A = \cup_{n=1}^\infty A$ ).

**2.** Let  $D : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the Dirichlet function (defined by  $D(x) = 1$  if  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  and 0 if  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ), and let  $a < b$  be real numbers.

(a) Prove that  $D$  is Lebesgue-integrable on  $[a, b]$  and that  $\int_{[a,b]} D \, d\mu = 0$ .

(b) Prove that  $D$  is not Riemann-integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

**Solution:** (a) The image of  $D$  has two elements 0 and 1. The set  $A_1 = \{x \in [a, b] : D(x) = 1\} = [a, b] \cap \mathbb{Q}$  is measurable and has measure 0 since it is countable, hence the set  $A_0 = \{x \in [a, b] : D(x) = 0\} = [a, b] \setminus A_1$  is also measurable with  $\mu(A_0) = \mu([a, b]) = \mu(A_1) = b - a$ . Therefore,  $D$  is Lebesgue-integrable and  $\int_{[a,b]} D \, d\mu = 0 \cdot \mu(A_0) + 1 \cdot \mu(A_1) = 0 \cdot (b - a) + 1 \cdot 0 = 0$ .

(b) Let  $P$  be an arbitrary partition of  $[a, b]$  into intervals  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  with lengths  $\Delta x_1, \dots, \Delta x_n$ . Since each  $I_k$  contains both rational and irrational numbers, we have  $M_k = 1$  and  $m_k = 0$  where (in Pugh's notation from p. 166)  $M_k = \sup\{D(x) : x \in I_k\}$  and  $m_k = \inf\{D(x) : x \in I_k\}$ . Hence the upper sum  $U(D, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n M_k \Delta x_k = \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta x_k = b - a$  and the lower sum  $L(D, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n m_k \Delta x_k = 0$ . Thus,  $\inf\{U(D, P)\}$ , the infimum of upper sums over all partitions, is larger than  $\sup\{L(D, P)\}$ , the supremum of lower sums over all partitions, so by definition  $D$  is not Riemann/Darboux-integrable.

**3.** Let  $\{f_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of measurable functions, and let  $A$  be the set of all  $x \in [a, b]$  such that  $\{f_n(x)\}$  converges. Prove that  $A$  is measurable.

**Solution:** Following the hint, given  $n, m, k \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$A_{n,m,k} = \left\{ x \in [a, b] : |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

First we show that each  $A_{n,m,k}$  is measurable. Indeed, if we fix  $n$  and  $m$  and let  $g = f_n - f_m$ , then

$$A_{n,m,k} = \left\{ x \in [a, b] : -\frac{1}{k} < g(x) < \frac{1}{k} \right\} = g_{< \frac{1}{k}} \cap g_{> -\frac{1}{k}}.$$

Since  $f_n$  and  $f_m$  are measurable,  $g = f_n - f_m$  is also measurable by Lemma 24.2. By definition of a measurable function and Lemma 24.1 the sets  $g_{< \frac{1}{k}}$  and

$g_{>-\frac{1}{k}}$  are both measurable, and finally their intersection is measurable by Lemma 22.3.

Once we know that each  $A_{n,m,k}$  is measurable, Theorem 23.1 immediately implies that the set

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n,m=N}^{\infty} A_{n,m,k} \quad (*)$$

is measurable. It remains to show that the set given by (\*) is equal to the set  $\{x \in [a, b] : \{f_n(x)\} \text{ converges}\}$ .

Indeed, take any  $x \in [a, b]$ . By the Cauchy criterion, the sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}$  converges  $\iff \{f_n(x)\}$  is Cauchy  $\iff$

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n, m \geq N. \quad (**)$$

Since for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{k} < \varepsilon$ , it is enough to require (\*\*) for  $\varepsilon$  of the form  $\frac{1}{k}$ . In other words,  $\{f_n(x)\}$  converges  $\iff$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \frac{1}{k}$  for all  $n, m \geq N$  or, equivalently,

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \forall n, m \geq N \text{ we have } x \in A_{n,m,k}. \quad (***)$$

Finally, the definitions of unions and intersections immediately imply that  $x$  satisfies (\*\*\*)  $\iff x$  lies in the set given by (\*), which completes the proof.

4. Given a function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , let  $\Gamma(f)$  be the graph of  $f$ , that is,

$$\Gamma(f) = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in [a, b]\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2.$$

In each part of this problem prove that  $\Gamma(f)$  has measure 0 (each part is a generalization of the previous part, but please do not deduce (a) from (b) or (b) from (c) as there are easier constructions that work for (a) and (b))

- (a)  $f(x) = x$
- (b)  $f$  is an arbitrary continuous function
- (c)  $f$  is an arbitrary measurable function

**Solution:** As mentioned at the beginning, in this problem we will denote the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $\mu_1$  and the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by  $\mu_2$ . We will use analogous notations for outer measures.

In all 3 parts we will use the following criterion.

**Claim 1:** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  be a set, and suppose that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a countable cover  $\{A_k\}$  of  $A$  such that  $\sum_k \mu_2^*(A_k) < \varepsilon$ . Then  $\mu_2^*(A) = 0$  (and hence  $A$  is measurable and has measure 0 by Observation 22.2).

**Remark:** The sets  $A_k$  in the claim could be arbitrary; they do not even need to be measurable.

*Proof of Claim 1:* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By hypotheses, there exists a countable collection  $\{A_k\}$  such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup_k A_k$  and  $\sum_k \mu_2^*(A_k) < \varepsilon$ . By (the 2-dimensional version of) Lemma 21.1(a)(c) we have  $\mu_2^*(A) \leq \mu_2^*(\bigcup_k A_k) \leq \sum_k \mu_2^*(A_k)$ , and so  $\mu_2^*(A) < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $\mu_2^*(A) = 0$ .  $\square$

In each part we will prove that  $\Gamma(f)$  has measure 0 using Claim 1.

(a) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{(b-a)^2}{n} < \varepsilon$ . Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be the squares with side length  $\frac{b-a}{n}$  such that the left-lower vertex of  $A_1$  is at the point  $(a, a)$  and for each  $k \geq 2$ , the left-lower vertex of  $A_k$  coincides with the right-upper vertex of  $A_{k-1}$  (thus, all  $A_k$  have their left-lower and right-upper vertices on  $\Gamma(f)$ , and the right-upper vertex of  $A_n$  is at  $(b, b)$ ).

It is clear that  $\Gamma(f) \subseteq \bigcup_k A_k$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_2^*(A_k) = n \cdot \frac{(b-a)^2}{n^2} = \frac{(b-a)^2}{n} < \varepsilon$ , so we are done by Claim 1.

(b) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $f$  is uniformly continuous, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{b-a}$  whenever  $|x - y| < \delta$ . Now choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{b-a}{n} < \delta$ . For each  $0 \leq k \leq n$  let  $x_k = a + k \cdot \frac{b-a}{n}$ . For  $1 \leq k \leq n$  let  $M_k = \max\{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\}$  and  $m_k = \min\{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\}$ , and let  $A_k$  be the rectangle whose left-lower vertex is  $(x_{k-1}, m_k)$  and whose right-upper vertex is  $(x_k, M_k)$ .

As in (a) the construction ensures that  $\Gamma(f) \subseteq \bigcup_k A_k$ . By the choice of  $\delta$  we have  $M_k - m_k \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{b-a}$ , so  $\mu_2^*(A_k) < \frac{b-a}{n} \frac{\varepsilon}{b-a} = \frac{\varepsilon}{n}$  and hence  $\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_2^*(A_k) < \varepsilon$ , and again we are done by Claim 1.

(c) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and fix  $n$  such that  $\frac{b-a}{n} < \varepsilon$ .

For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $I_k = \{x \in [a, b] : \frac{k}{n} \leq f(x) < \frac{k+1}{n}\}$ . Since  $f$  is measurable, each  $I_k$  is measurable. By construction  $[a, b]$  is equal to the disjoint union  $\bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} I_k$ , so by countable additivity we have  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_1(I_k) = \mu_1([a, b]) = b - a$ .

Now let  $A_k = I_k \times [\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}]$ . It is clear from the construction that  $\Gamma(f) \subseteq \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A_k$ .

By Theorem 23.2, each  $A_k$  is measurable (as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) and  $\mu_2(A_k) = \mu_1(I_k) \mu_1([\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}]) = \frac{\mu_1(I_k)}{n}$ . Hence  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_2(A_k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_1(I_k) = \frac{b-a}{n} < \varepsilon$ , and we are done as in previous cases.

5. Let  $C$  be the standard Cantor set, and let  $H : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be the Cantor function AKA the Devil staircase function (see p.187 in Pugh).

- (a) Prove that  $\mu(H(C)) = 1$ . This shows that a continuous function may send a set of measure zero to a set of positive measure.
- (b) Compute  $\int_{[0,1]} H dm$ .
- (c) (bonus) Modify the construction of  $H$  to show that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a **strictly** increasing continuous function  $f_\varepsilon : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\mu(H_\varepsilon(C)) > 1 - \varepsilon$ .

**Solution:** (a) Since  $H$  is a non-decreasing continuous function with  $H(0) = 0$  and  $H(1) = 1$ , by the intermediate value theorem we have  $H([0, 1]) = [0, 1]$ .

Next note that  $H([0, 1]) = H(C) \cup H([0, 1] \setminus C)$ , and by construction  $H([0, 1] \setminus C) \subset \mathbb{Q}$ . Hence if we set  $A = H([0, 1] \setminus C)$ , then  $A \subseteq [0, 1] \subseteq A \cup \mathbb{Q}$ , and therefore  $A \Delta [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ .

Since  $\mu^*(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ , we have  $\mu^*(A \Delta [0, 1]) = 0$ . Since the set  $[0, 1]$  is elementary,  $A$  is measurable (by definition). Also, since  $[0, 1] \subseteq A \cup ([0, 1] \Delta A)$ , we have  $1 = \mu([0, 1]) \leq \mu(A) + \mu([0, 1] \Delta A) = \mu(A)$ ; on the other hand,  $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ , so  $\mu(A) \leq \mu([0, 1]) = 1$ . Combining the two inequalities, we conclude that  $\mu(A) = 1$ , as desired.

(b) By Theorem 26.2(e) we have  $\int_{[0,1]} H d\mu = \int_C H d\mu + \int_{[0,1] \setminus C} H d\mu$ , and since  $\mu(C) = 0$  we have  $\int_C H d\mu = 0$  by Theorem 26.2(d).

For convenience denote by  $G$  the restriction of  $H$  to  $[0, 1] \setminus C$ . It is clear that  $G$  is a simple function, so  $\int_{[0,1] \setminus C} H d\mu = \int_{[0,1] \setminus C} G d\mu$  can be computed simply by computing the sum of a suitable series.

Note that  $\text{Im}(G)$  consists of all rational numbers in  $(0, 1)$  with denominator a power of 2. For each  $c \in \text{Im}(G)$  denote  $A_c = \{x \in [0, 1] \setminus C : G(x) = c\}$ . By definition of  $G$  we have  $\mu(A_{1/2}) = 1/3$ ,  $\mu(A_{1/4}) = \mu(A_{3/4}) = 1/9$ ,  $\mu(A_{1/8}) = \mu(A_{3/8}) = \mu(A_{5/8}) = \mu(A_{7/8}) = 1/27, \dots$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1]} g d\mu &= \sum_{c \in \text{Im}(g)} c \cdot \mu(A_c) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{9} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{27} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{3}{8} + \frac{5}{8} + \frac{7}{8}\right) + \dots \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{k-1}}{3^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^k = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{1-2/3} = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

6. (practice) Kolmogorov-Fomin, Problem 6 after Section 28 (p.292)

**Solution:** First we consider the special case where  $f_n \rightarrow f$  everywhere (pointwise), not just almost everywhere. First we explain why the sets  $E_k(\delta) = \{x : |f_k(x) - f(x)| \geq \delta\}$  are measurable.

Since each  $f_k$  is measurable and  $f_k \rightarrow f$  pointwise,  $f$  is measurable by Lemma 24.3. Then  $f_k - f$  is measurable (for any fixed  $k$ ) by Lemma 24.2, whence  $|f_k - f|$  is also measurable (see the argument in Problem 3). Finally, using Lemma 24.2 again we deduce that the sets  $E_k(\delta)$  are measurable. Since countable unions and countable intersections of measurable sets are measurable, we deduce that the sets  $R_n(\delta) = \cup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k(\delta)$  and  $M = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n(\delta)$  are also measurable.

Next we claim that  $M = \emptyset$  (recall that so far we assume that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  everywhere). Indeed, suppose that  $x \in M$ . Then  $x \in R_n(\delta)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $R_n(\delta) = \cup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k(\delta)$ , it follows that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $k > n$  such that  $|f_k(x) - f(x)| \geq \delta$ . This clearly implies that  $f_k(x)$  does not converge to  $f(x)$ , contrary to our assumption.

Thus,  $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n(\delta) = \emptyset$ . Since clearly  $R_1(\delta) \supseteq R_2(\delta) \supseteq \dots$ , by Theorem 11 in KF, Section 25, we deduce that  $\mu(R_n(\delta)) \rightarrow \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . Since  $E_n(\delta) \subseteq R_n(\delta)$ , we have  $\mu(E_n(\delta)) \leq \mu(R_n(\delta))$ , so  $\mu(E_n(\delta)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  as well.

**General case:** We start with a lemma.

**Lemma:** *Let  $U$  be a measurable set and  $V$  any set such that  $U \Delta V$  has measure 0. Then  $V$  is also measurable and  $\mu(U) = \mu(V)$ .*

*Proof:* Since  $V \setminus U$  and  $U \setminus V$  are both contained in  $U \Delta V$  and  $\mu(U \Delta V) = 0$ , we must have  $\mu^*(V \setminus U) = \mu^*(U \setminus V) = 0$ , so by Observation 22.2(a) from class  $V \setminus U$  and  $U \setminus V$  are both measurable (and have measure zero). Then  $U \cup V = U \sqcup (V \setminus U)$  is also measurable and  $\mu(U \cap V) = \mu(U) + \mu(V \setminus U) = \mu(U) + 0 = \mu(U)$ . Since  $V = (U \cup V) \setminus (U \setminus V)$ , we deduce that  $V$  is measurable; moreover, since  $U \cup V = V \sqcup (U \setminus V)$ , we have  $\mu(V) = \mu(U \cup V) - \mu(U \setminus V) = \mu(U) - 0 = \mu(U)$ .  $\square$

We now go back to the problem. Let  $A = \{x : f_n(x) \not\rightarrow f(x)\}$  (thus, by assumption  $\mu(A) = 0$ ). Define the functions  $g_n$  and  $g$  by setting  $g_n(x) = f_n(x)$ ,  $g(x) = f(x)$  if  $x \notin A$  and  $g_n(x) = g(x) = 0$  if  $x \in A$ . Then by construction  $g_n \rightarrow g$  everywhere.

We claim that each  $g_n$  is measurable. Indeed, if for fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we set  $U = \{x : f_n(x) < c\}$  and  $V = \{x : g_n(x) < c\}$ , then  $U \Delta V \subseteq A$ . Since  $U$  is measurable (as  $f_n$  is measurable), by the above Lemma,  $V$  is also measurable, so  $g_n$  is measurable.

Thus, we can apply the result in the special case to the functions  $\{g_n\}$  and  $g$  and deduce that the sets  $E'_k(\delta) = \{x : |g_k(x) - g(x)| \geq \delta\}$  are measurable and  $\mu(E'_k(\delta)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Finally, it is clear that  $E'_k(\delta) \triangle E_k(\delta) \subseteq A$ , so applying the above Lemma again, we deduce that  $E_k(\delta)$  is measurable and  $\mu(E_k(\delta)) = \mu(E'_k(\delta))$ , so  $\mu(E_k(\delta)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

**7.** Kolmogorov-Fomin, Problem 8 after Section 28 (p.292). **Note:** The functions  $f_i^{(k)}$  are only defined for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . It is probably useful to start by drawing the graphs of the first few functions in the sequence (say for  $k = 1, 2, 3$ ).

**Solution:** Let  $g_1, g_2, \dots$  be the functions in the sequence (in the order in which they appear in the sequence), that is,  $g_1 = f_1^{(1)}$ ,  $g_2 = f_1^{(2)}$ ,  $g_3 = f_2^{(2)}$ ,  $g_4 = f_1^{(3)}$ ,  $g_5 = f_2^{(3)}$ ,  $g_6 = f_3^{(3)}$ ,  $g_7 = f_1^{(4)}$ ,  $\dots$ . In particular,  $g_n = f_{i_n}^{(k_n)}$  where  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\mathbf{0}$  denote the identically zero function. By definition, the function  $f_i^{(k)}$  differs from  $\mathbf{0}$  on a set of measure  $\frac{1}{k}$ , so  $\mu\{x : |g_n(x) - \mathbf{0}(x)| \geq \delta\} \leq \frac{1}{k_n}$  for any  $\delta > 0$ . Since  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that  $\mu\{x : |g_n(x) - \mathbf{0}(x)| \geq \delta\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so  $g_n \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$  in measure.

On the other hand, for any  $x \in (0, 1]$  and  $k \geq 2$ , there exist indices  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $f_i^{(k)}(x) = 0$  and  $f_j^{(k)}(x) = 1$ . Thus, the sequence  $\{g_n(x)\} = \{f_{i_n}^{(k_n)}(x)\}$  contains infinitely many 0's and infinitely many 1's, so it cannot be convergent.