## Homework #10. Due Thursday, April 16th, in class Reading:

1. For this assignment: 4.4, 5.1 + class notes (Lectures 19-21).

For next week's classes: 5.1 (parts which were not covered in class),
and beginning of 5.3 (Theorem 5.28 and Example 5.29)

## Problems:

**Problem 1:** As in the previous assignment, log denotes the natural logarithm (logarithm to the base e). Let  $f(x) = \log(1 + x)$  (the domain of f is  $(-1, \infty)$ ).

- (a) Find the formula for  $f^{(n)}(x)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . **Hint:** compute  $f^{(n)}(x)$  for a few small values of n, use your computations to guess the formula (for arbitrary n) and then prove the formula rigorously by induction.
- (b) Explicitly compute  $P_{n,0}(x)$ , the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Taylor polynomial of f centered at 0.
- (c) Use Taylor's theorem to show that  $|\log(\frac{1}{2}) P_{7,0}(\frac{-1}{2})| < \frac{1}{1000}$ .

**Problem 2:** Problem 3(b)(c) from Midterm#2. If you received full credit (5 points) for one or both parts of this problem, you do not need to submit solution to the corresponding part(s).

**Problem 3:** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $P_n$  be the partition of the interval [0, 1] into n subintervals of the same length  $\frac{1}{n}$ ; in other words  $P_n = \{0 < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{2}{n} < \dots < \frac{n-1}{n} < 1\}$ . Let f(x) = x.

- (a) Explicitly compute the upper and lower Riemann sums  $U(P_n, f)$  and  $L(P_n, f)$  (and simplify your answer).
- (b) Use your answer in (a) to deduce that f is integrable on [0, 1] directly from Theorem 21.2 (integrability criterion) (note that in the book the assertion of Theorem 21.2 is taken as the definition of integrability).

**Problem 4:** If S is a non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and f is a real function which is defined and bounded on S, we define  $M(f,S) = \sup\{f(x) : x \in S\}$  and  $m(f,S) = \inf\{f(x) : x \in S\}$  (a special case of this notation was used in the definition of upper and lower Riemann sums).

(a) Let f and g be functions defined and bounded on some non-empty  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Then it is clear that the function  $f + g : S \to \mathbb{R}$  is also bounded. Prove that  $M(f + g, S) \leq M(f, S) + M(g, S)$ . Hint: If

A is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and K is a real number, what is a simple way to show that  $\sup(A) \leq K$ ? If this question does not ring a bell, look at the relevant problem on the first midterm.

- (b) Use (a) to prove that  $U(f + g, P) \leq U(f, P) + U(g, P)$  for every partition P of [a, b].
- (c) Now use (b) to prove that

$$\overline{\int_{a}^{b}}(f(x) + g(x)) \, dx \le \overline{\int_{a}^{b}}f(x) \, dx + \overline{\int_{a}^{b}}g(x) \, dx.$$

Note: by completely analogous argument one shows that

$$\int_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} (f(x) + g(x)) \, dx \ge \int_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} f(x) \, dx + \int_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} g(x) \, dx.$$

(this question is not a part of the problem).

**Problem 5:** Let  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded function, and let  $c \in (a, b)$ . Let A be the set of all lower Riemann sums L(f, P) where P is a partition of [a, b], let  $A_1 = \{L(f, P) : P \text{ is a partition of } [a, c]\}$  and  $A_2 = \{L(f, P) :$ P is a partition of  $[c, b]\}$ . Note that by definition we have

$$\int_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} f(x)dx = \sup(A), \quad \int_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}} f(x)dx = \sup(A_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\underline{c}}^{\underline{b}} f(x)dx = \sup(A_2).$$

- (a) Let A' be the set of all lower Riemann sums L(f, P) where P is a partition of [a, b] AND  $c \in P$ . Prove that  $\sup(A) = \sup(A')$ . Hint: inequality in one direction is obvious. For the other direction use Proposition 20.2 from class.
- (b) Prove that  $A' = A_1 + A_2$  in the sense of Homework#2.1 and deduce that  $\sup(A) = \sup(A_1) + \sup(A_2)$ .
- that  $\sup(A) = \sup(A_1) + \sup(A_2)$ . (c) Deduce from (b) that  $\int_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} f(x)dx = \int_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}} f(x)dx + \int_{\underline{c}}^{\underline{b}} f(x)dx$ .

As in Problem 4, by the same argument one shows the analogue of (c) dealing with upper integrals.